

**Project Name:** FI\$Cal  
**Technology Agency Project #:** 8860-30  
**Department:** FI\$Cal  
**Reporting Period:** *From:* 10/1/16 *To:* 10/31/16

## Executive Project Status Report

<b>Total Percent Complete:</b>	83.00%
--------------------------------	--------

**Current Status and Accomplishments:**  
*Describe deliverables completed and milestones met during **this reporting period.***

**General:**  
\* The Project completed 8 Hires and 7 Separations for October.

**Wave 1 and Wave 2:**  
\* Conducting Fiscal Year 15/16 Month End Close support sessions for Wave 1 and 2 departments .

**ABMS 2016 Release:**  
\* UUAT is 82 percent complete with 45 of 55 scenarios passed. In October number of scenarios was revised to 55 and DGS developed a closeout schedule for the remaining 10 scenarios. Additionally, the production dashboard indicates 76% of Business Functional areas are in limited production and with 64% in full production. The project also passed 14 of 24 interfaces in UUAT.

**Budgets 2.0 Release 2 (July 2017):**  
\* P3 Reports completed \* P4 Reports \* Multi-Year solution demo with BOS completed

**SCO/STO 2017 Release (formerly Wave 3):**  
\*Code Drop 1 Functional Testing Exit, \*Code Drop 1 UAT Entry, \*Code Drop 2 Configuration, Test Conditions Complete, \*CD2 STO Test Scripts signed off, \*Interface Test Cycle 2 In Progress, \*Training Pilot Sessions In progress, \*Business Process Workshops sessions in progress

**July 2017 Departmental Release:**  
\* Completed As-Is Business Processes Meetings (2 of 6) for the Statewide Procurement and Emergency Expenditure Tracking process \* Business Process Workshops (7 of 8) Completed \* UAT Presentation to Cross Team 10/25 \* Business Process Design effort with CalFire, OES, Military and DGS has been initially defined \* Interface and Conversion Presentation to Leadership

---

### Current Status Report

---

# CA-PMM

**Project Name:** FI\$Cal  
**Technology Agency Project #:** 8860-30  
**Department:** FI\$Cal  
**Reporting Period:** *From:* 10/1/16 *To:* 10/31/16

## Executive Project Status Report

Questions	Yes/No	Cause	Impact	Action Required
1. Were recent milestones completed on schedule?	No	SCO STO Design Build Test Transition 2017 Departmental Release	No Impact to go-Live	none
2. Were any key milestones or deliverables rescheduled?	No			
3. Was work done that was not planned?	No			
4. Were there any changes to scope?	No			
5. Were tasks added that were not originally estimated?	No			
6. Were any tasks or milestones removed?	No			
7. Were any scheduled tasks not started?	No			
8. Are there any new major issues?	No			

# CA-PMM

**Project Name:** FI\$Cal  
**Technology Agency Project #:** 8860-30  
**Department:** FI\$Cal  
**Reporting Period:** *From:* 10/1/16 *To:* 10/31/16

## Executive Project Status Report

9. Are there any staffing problems?	Yes	Vacancy Rate decreased from 21.59% in September to 21.31% in October.	Recruitments are in progress.
-------------------------------------	-----	---	-------------------------------

**Variances**  
 Insert the variance value into the appropriate column for each project element listed below. Please describe the actions you plan to take for those items marked "Caution" or "Significant Variance". **The variance must be a numeric value only.**

	On Plan <5%	Caution 5-10%	Significant Variance >10%	Action Required
Schedule	0.00%			
Milestones			28.00%	4 of 14 Milestones were late.
Deliverables	0.00%			0 of 15 Deliverables had a late Cycle 1 submission.
Resources			21.31%	
OneTime Cost	0.00%			
Continuing Cost	0.00%			

# CA-PMM

**Project Name:** FI\$Cal  
**Technology Agency Project #:** 8860-30  
**Department:** FI\$Cal  
**Reporting Period:** *From:* 10/1/16 *To:* 10/31/16

## Executive Project Status Report

### Monitoring Vital Signs Scorecard

Vital Sign	Variance	Value	Your Score	Score Justification
1. Customer Buy-In	High Degree of Buy-In	0	0	
	Medium Degree of Buy-In	1		
	Low Degree of Buy-In	2		
2. Technology Viability	Strong Viability	0	1	Yellow
	Medium Viability	1		
	Weak Viability	2		
3. Status of the Critical Path (delay)	<5%	0	4	Red
	5% to 10%	2		
	>10%	4		
4. Cost-to-Date vs. Estimated Cost-to-Date (higher)	<5%	0	0	Green
	5% to 10%	2		
	>10%	4		
5. High-Probability, High-Impact Risks	0 to 3	0	0	Green
	4 to 6	1		
	>6	2		
6. Unresolved Issues (on time resolution)	On time	0	0	Green
	Late with no impact	2		
	Late impacting the critical path	3		

23% of the critical path is delayed

No new high risk was opened during period.

# CA-PMM

**Project Name:** FI\$Cal  
**Technology Agency Project #:** 8860-30  
**Department:** FI\$Cal  
**Reporting Period:** *From:* 10/1/16 *To:* 10/31/16

## Executive Project Status Report

7. Sponsorship Commitment	Fully engaged	0	0	Green	
	Partially engaged	2			
	Inadequate engagement	4			
8. Strategy Alignment	Strong alignment	0	0	Green	
	Partial alignment	1			
	Weak or no alignment	2			
9. Value-to-Business	Strong	0	0	Green	
	Medium	1			
	Weak	2			
10. Vendor Viability (provide rationale for the rating in the field following the scorecard) * If this is not applicable to your project, please select a score of "0".	Strong	0	0	Green	
	Medium	1			
	Weak	2			
11. Milestone Hit Rate (rate of achievement as planned)	>90% on time	0	2	Red	4 of 14 Milestones were late.
	80-90% on time	1			
	<80% on time	2			
12. Deliverable Hit Rate (rate of production as planned)	>90% on time	0	0	Green	0 of 15 Deliverables had a late Cycle 1 submission.
	80-90% on time	1			
	<80% on time	2			

# CA-PMM

**Project Name:** FI\$Cal  
**Technology Agency Project #:** 8860-30  
**Department:** FI\$Cal  
**Reporting Period:** *From:* 10/1/16 *To:* 10/31/16

## Executive Project Status Report

13. Actual vs. Planned Resources	>90% assigned and available	0	2	Yellow	
	80-90% assigned and available	2			
	<80% assigned and available	4			
14. Overtime Utilization (% of effort that is overtime)	<15%	0	0	Green	OT tracked only for Rank and File staff
	15-25%	1			
	>25%	2			
15. Team Effectiveness	Highly Effective	0	0	Green	
	Moderately Effective	1			
	Ineffective	2			
<b>Total</b>			<b>9</b>	<b>Y</b>	

*Green = 0 - 8*  
*Yellow = 9 - 19*  
*Red = 20+*

### Vendor Viability Rating Rationale

# CA-PMM

**Project Name:** FI\$Cal  
**Technology Agency Project #:** 8860-30  
**Department:** FI\$Cal  
**Reporting Period:** *From:* 10/1/16 *To:* 10/31/16

## Executive Project Status Report

### Look Ahead View

Questions	Yes/No	Impact	Action Required
1. Will upcoming critical path milestones or deliverables be delayed?	No		
2. Do any key milestones or deliverables need to be rescheduled?	Yes	SCO/STO Project Schedule will be updated to include scope & schedule updates from CR 615 & CR 621	CR 621 in Cross Team Approval. CR 615 scope finalized and will be submitted upon scope approval.

**Project Name:** FI\$Cal  
**Technology Agency Project #:** 8860-30  
**Department:** FI\$Cal  
**Reporting Period:** *From:* 10/1/16 *To:* 10/31/16

# Executive Project Status Report

<p>3. Is there any unplanned work that needs to be done?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>SCO/STO Project Schedule will be updated to include scope &amp; schedule updates from CR 615 &amp; CR 621</p>	<p>CR 621 in Cross Team Approval. CR 615 scope finalized and will be submitted upon scope approval.</p>
<p>4. Are there any expected or recommended changes to scope?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>SCO/STO Project Schedule will be updated to include scope &amp; schedule updates from CR 615 &amp; CR 621</p>	<p>CR 621 in Cross Team Approval. CR 615 scope finalized and will be submitted upon scope approval.</p>

**Project Name:** FI\$Cal  
**Technology Agency Project #:** 8860-30  
**Department:** FI\$Cal  
**Reporting Period:** *From:* 10/1/16 *To:* 10/31/16

# Executive Project Status Report

<p>5. Are there any tasks not originally estimated that will need to be added?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>SCO/STO Project Schedule will be updated to include scope &amp; schedule updates from CR 615 &amp; CR 621</p>	<p>CR 621 in Cross Team Approval. CR 615 scope finalized and will be submitted upon scope approval.</p>
<p>6. Are there any tasks or milestones that should be removed from the plan?</p>	<p>No</p>		
<p>7. Are there any scheduled tasks whose start will likely be delayed?</p>	<p>No</p>		
<p>8. Are any major new issues foreseeable?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Failure to complete UUAT within the allotted time has stretched DGS resources and resulted in the delay of downstream activities. activities.</p>	<p>Completion of UUAT remains the number one priority; the team has developed a revised timeline and Accenture will continue to provide break fix support.</p>

**Project Name:** FI\$Cal  
**Technology Agency Project #:** 8860-30  
**Department:** FI\$Cal  
**Reporting Period:** *From:* 10/1/16 *To:* 10/31/16

# Executive Project Status Report

9. Are any staffing problems anticipated?	Yes	FI\$Cal's vacancy rate is currently at 21.31%.	Continue to analyze remaining Project activities and resource constraints. Adjust Project as necessary. Continue to focus on staff retention and cross training.
---	-----	--	--